toads; (b) the publication of the belief stated—one that he has not explicitly withdrawn—necessarily delayed publication of parallel tests in my London laboratory.—I am, etc.,

University of Birmingham.

LANCELOT HOGBEN.

SIR.—I deeply regret the tone of the letter from Drs. H. A. Shapiro and H. Zwarenstein (Nov. 16, p. 752). Since 1930 I have been very closely associated with Prof. Hogben and colleagues in work on *Xenopus laevis* and well recall Dr. Zwarenstein's sojourn in London when Dr. Bellerby and myself were engaged on the work concerning husbandry and technique which was necessary before *Xenopus* could be introduced as a reliable test animal for general use.

It is beyond question that the test arose from Hogben's discovery in 1929 that *Xenopus* ovulates in response to pituitary extracts. Under Hogben's leadership a thorough exploration of the implications of this discovery took place between 1930 and 1939, when the general applicability and reliability of the test were fully established. Prof. Crew, himself entirely familiar with the whole of the research in this field, very properly termed it the Hogben test.—I am, etc.,

University of Aberdeen.

F. W. LANDGREBE.

** This correspondence is now closed.—Ed., B.M.J.

Milk Priorities

SIR,—The medical profession have been asked to co-operate in the fairer distribution of milk supplies. In my work at infant welfare centres I have been struck by the fact that proprietary milk foods are not on the milk ration and can be bought at a chemist's or welfare centre on presentation of a baby's ration book. The mother of a baby entirely fed on such a food can also, if she wishes, get one pint (568 ml.) of liquid milk daily on the baby's ration book and one pint on her own.

While it might be admitted that this mother needed an extra allowance to make up for the extra strain of pregnancy and lactation, it can hardly be necessary for her to have two pints, nor indeed one pint, for longer than a month or so unless she has some other condition which will qualify her for it separately. Under present arrangements a family may get two extra pints of milk daily where the baby is fed on a proprietary food. I have also known cases where a proprietary food has been bought ostensibly for the baby but actually used for making cakes—a thing which would not happen if the former were on the milk ration.

National Dried Milk, on the other hand, is supplied by the food office instead of liquid milk. I suggest that this arrangement be extended to all dried milks specially prepared for babies. It should not be difficult to issue cards in place of the present rationing slips, which could be used anywhere to obtain these foods. Such a scheme need not, of course, include foods which are added to liquid milk.—I am, etc.,

Birmingham.

ELEANOR M. SAWDON.

SIR,—Surely in this question of milk certificates Dr. J. G. McDowell (Nov. 30, p. 834) is flogging the wrong horse. We have got to face the unpleasant fact that there is not enough milk being produced (in spite of the remarkable efforts of dairy farmers in the face of negligible imports of cattle food, which, I believe, is linked with the world shortage of fats) to supply the increased demand of the population. Dr. McDowell, as a preventive medicine specialist, can judge better than most of us whether or not it is wise to stint the general population for the benefit of invalids.

Incidentally, did the Minister of Food slander the medical practitioner? From what I remember of the report of his speech he merely made a statement of fact—that the milk going to priority consumers had risen about 50% in 18 months, and in view of the shortage of milk he asked doctors to review their milk certificates and place a stricter criterion on the necessity for extra milk. And, Heaven knows, it is easy enough to give certificates under II (a) or (c) for the majority of one's patients without putting too great a strain on—I was going to say one's conscience—but perhaps one's interpretation of the regulations would be better.—I am, etc.,

M. J. INGRAM.

Milk and Medicine

SIR,—Any doctor with a busy practice who has an inclination towards the State control of medicine should ponder over the recent dictatorial action of the Food Minister in cancelling all milk permits on Nov. 30. The result has been that for the last week I, like most G.P.s, have been inundated with applications for renewal of milk certificates, with all the consequent waste of time this entails.

Medicine is rapidly deteriorating into form filling—a grim warning of things to come unless we decide to unite and fight against control from doctrinaire politicians.—I am, etc.,

Croydon.

GLYN JAMES.

Dicoumarol for Coronary Thrombosis

SIR,—In "Any Questions?" (Dec. 7, p. 882) there is a note on dicoumarol for coronary thrombosis. The advice given is "... 300 mg. dicoumarol are administered orally in one dose. This dose is repeated daily until the prothrombin time is 30 seconds. Doses of 100 or 200 mg. are given daily when the prothrombin time is between 30 and 35 seconds; above this level the dosage should be discontinued owing to the risk of haemorrhage."

The prothrombin time after a dose of 300 mg. dicoumarol does not begin to alter for 48 to 72 hours; it is possible to seriously overdose a patient by giving 300 mg. daily until the prothrombin time increases to 30 seconds. A much safer procedure would be to give 300 mg. daily for two days then stop altogether; it will be found that the prothrombin time will begin to rise about two days after the second dose and will continue to rise for three or four days, then will gradually decline, the base-line of prothrombin time being reached in approximately ten days after the first 300 mg. dose. When, by daily prothrombin time estimations, which are essential, it is found that the maximum time has been reached and the "days are shortening," a further small dose of dicoumarol may be given. Often 50 mg. is enough to increase the prothrombin time to the maximum after 24 hours; the patient at this stage reacts much more quickly. Still being guided by a daily pro-thrombin time estimation, further single doses of 50 mg. or 100 mg. may be given at irregular intervals; at this stage a daily dose is seldom necessary.

If for some reason the course of dicoumarol is stopped for some weeks or months, and then it is desired to resume it again, dosage must be very cautious. The patient may react rather violently and with unusual rapidity to doses as small as 50 mg.—probably 25 mg. is safer. It is true that little has been written on this subject in England, but the drug is being used and is very effective and only dangerous when the rather marked time lag between dose and effect is neglected or prothrombin times are not used as a guide. It is necessary to standardize the technique for prothrombin estimations and not to vary the method as results are all relative.—I am, etc.,

Epping. Frank Marsh.

Colonial Medical Service

SIR,—In my early years in West Africa I encouraged qualified friends, who had thoughts of going abroad, to join this Service, of which I am still a member. Recently I have been a less active propagandist. This month a report by a Commission on the Civil Services in West Africa has been published. If its recommendations regarding salary and service conditions for medical officers are accepted, and if the implications of these conditions are made known to intending recruits, I doubt if any sensible young medicals will sign on the dotted line.

Before the 1935 reorganization of service conditions administrative and most technical officers began at £400 or £450 per annum, and rose to either £920 or £960 per annum plus a nonpensionable seniority allowance of £72. The medical officer began at £660, reached £960 plus £72 in ten years, and, after a three years' halt, went on to receive £1,150 plus £100 at seventeen years. In 1935 the maximum of all these salary scales was consolidated at £1,000. The medical officer, apart from dropping £250 on his maximum, was now to do three years' probation without an increment and to take thirteen years to reach £1,000. From his third year onwards his salary